# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

| )<br>)                        |
|-------------------------------|
| )                             |
| ) Case No. 05-CV-0329 GFK-SAJ |
| )<br>)                        |
| )<br>)                        |
|                               |

# THE CARGILL DEFENDANTS' SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFFS TO DESIGNATE DEPONENTS UNDER RULE 30(B)(6)

By leave of Court, the Cargill Defendants hereby submit limited additional briefing in support of their motion to compel Plaintiffs to designate deponents in response to five Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notices served on Plaintiffs in August 2007 (Dkt. No. 1270) and in opposition to Plaintiffs' cross-motion for protective order (Dkt. No. 1309).

Per the Court's Order, Plaintiffs filed supplemental responses to Cargill, Inc.'s interrogatory Nos. 9 and 13 on October 19, 2007. (Ex. 1.) In previous responses, Plaintiffs had responded to these interrogatories only with theories about their intended expert proof, without including either supporting references or any asserted evidence of a factual connection between their claims and the Cargill Defendants. (See Dkt. Nos. 1189; 1272-3 at 11-13, 17-20.) Plaintiffs' latest responses aver that they have no direct evidence of Cargill wrongdoing but make individualized allegations of Cargill-specific circumstantial evidence. Specifically, Plaintiffs allege that one of the more-than-30 independent growers with whom the Cargill Defendants contracted had inconsistencies in required documentation, from which Plaintiffs apparently intend to draw unspecified inferences. (See id. at 2-4, 7-9.)

In resisting the Cargill Defendants' 30(b)(6) notices, Plaintiffs argue that the noticed

depositions should be combined with other Defendants' as-yet unnoticed depositions. Plaintiffs

try to emphasize an industry-wide view of their claims, asserting that the "noticed areas of

inquiry address matters that are common to all of the Defendants" (Dkt. No. 1308 at 5), and that

"the type of conduct that forms the basis of the State's claims is common among

Defendants" (Dkt. No. 1309 ¶ 3).

The new interrogatory responses, however, demonstrate that Plaintiffs intend to offer

Cargill-specific circumstantial evidence in an attempt to imply connections between their

claimed damages and the Cargill Defendants' or their growers' conduct, a strategy that

contradicts Plaintiffs' assertions of total commonality of liability evidence among

Defendants. The responses bolster the Cargill Defendants' argument that they have a right to

inquire into this Cargill-specific circumstantial evidence and the inferences Plaintiffs seek to

draw from it. The noticed Rule 30(b)(6) depositions are a fair and measured means of

accomplishing such Defendant-specific discovery.

Respectfully submitted,

Rhodes, Hieronymus, Jones, Tucker & Gable, PLLC

BY: /S/ JOHN H. TUCKER

JOHN H. TUCKER, OBA #9110

COLIN H. TUCKER, OBA #16325

THERESA NOBLE HILL, OBA #19119

100 W. Fifth Street, Suite 400 (74103-4287)

P.O. Box 21100

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74121-1100

Telephone: 918/582-1173

Facsimile: 918/592-3390

And

2

DELMAR R. EHRICH
BRUCE JONES
KRISANN C. KLEIBACKER LEE
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: 612/766-7000

Telephone: 612/766-7000 Facsimile: 612/766-1600

ATTORNEYS FOR CARGILL, INC. AND CARGILL

TURKEY PRODUCTION LLC

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2007, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General Kelly Hunter Burch, Assistant Attorney General J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General Robert D. Singletary Daniel Lennington, Assistant Attorney General

Douglas Allen Wilson Melvin David Riggs Richard T. Garren Sharon K. Weaver Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis

Robert Allen Nance Dorothy Sharon Gentry Riggs Abney

J. Randall Miller
David P. Page
Louis W. Bullock
Miller Keffer & Bullock

William H. Narwold Elizabeth C. Ward Frederick C. Baker Lee M. Heath Motley Rice

### **COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS**

Stephen L. Jantzen
Patrick M. Ryan
Paula M. Buchwald
Ryan, Whaley & Coldiron, P.C.

Mark D. Hopson Jay Thomas Jorgensen Timothy K. Webster Sidley Austin LLP

Robert W. George Michael R. Bond Kutack Rock LLP drew\_edmondson@oag.state.ok.us kelly\_burch@oag.state.ok.us trevor\_hammons@oag.state.ok.us Robert\_singletary@oag.state.ok.us Daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov

doug\_wilson@riggsabney.com driggs@riggsabney.com rgarren@riggsabney.com sweaver@riggsabney.com

rnance@riggsabney.com sgentry@riggsabney.com

rmiller@mkblaw.net dpage@mkblaw.net lbullock@mkblaw.net

bnarwold@motleyrice.com lward@motleyrice.com fbaker@motleyrice.com lheath@motleyrice.com

sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com pryan@ryanwhaley.com pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com

mhopson@sidley.com jjorgensen@sidley.com twebster@sidley.com

robert.george@kutakrock.com michael.bond@kutakrock.com

COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

R. Thomas Lay rtl@kiralaw.com

Kerr, Irvine, Rhodes & Ables

Jennifer S. Griffin jgriffin@lathropgage.com

Lathrop & Gage, L.C.

## COUNSEL FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

Robert P. Redemann rredemann@pmrlaw.net
Lawrence W. Zeringue lzeringue@pmrlaw.net
David C .Senger dsenger@pmrlaw.net

Perrine, McGivern, Redemann, Reid, Berry & Taylor, PLLC

Robert E. Sanders rsanders@youngwilliams.com
E. Stephen Williams steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

Young Williams P.A.

### COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.

George W. Owens gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com Randall E. Rose gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com

The Owens Law Firm, P.C.

James M. Graves jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com

Gary V. Weeks Bassett Law Firm

#### COUNSEL FOR GEORGE'S INC. AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

John R. Elrodjelrod@cwlaw.comVicki Bronsonvbronson@cwlaw.comBruce W. Freemanbfreeman@cwlaw.com

Conner & Winters, LLLP

#### COUNSEL FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

A. Scott McDaniel <a href="mailto:smcdaniel@mhla-law.com">smcdaniel@mhla-law.com</a>
Nicole M. Longwell <a href="mailto:nlongwell@mhla-law.com">nlongwell@mhla-law.com</a>
Philip D. Hixon <a href="mailto:phixon@mhla-law.com">phixon@mhla-law.com</a>

Joyce, Paul & McDaniel, PC

Sherry P. Bartley <u>sbartley@mwsgw.com</u>

Mitchell Williams Selig Gates & Woodyard COUNSEL FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

Michael D. Graves mgraves@hallestill.com
Dale Kenyon Williams, Jr. kwilliams@hallestill.com

#### COUNSEL FOR CERTAIN POULTRY GROWERS

I also hereby certify that I served the attached documents by United States Postal Service, proper postage paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

Thomas C. Green
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP
1501 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON
POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND
COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

s/ John H. Tucker